MINUTES EXHIBI	ıт <u> А</u>	
Port Commission_		
Meeting of March		

Remarks to the Port of Seattle commission - March 24th, at an open meeting.

Steven Keeler, see the Blog " Not So Green ", in the on line Edmonds, Mukilteo and Mill Creek Beacon.

IEEE Spectrum on line - Nov 18 2014 Issue (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers)

## What It Would Really Take to Reverse Climate Change?

Today's renewable energy technologies won't save us. So what will?

At the start of **RE<C** ( **R**enewable Energy < **C**oal, a Google funded and sourced effort from 2007 thru 2011 ), we had shared the attitude of many stalwart environmentalists: We felt that with steady improvements to today's renewable energy technologies, our society could stave off catastrophic climate change. We now know that to be a false hope—but that doesn't mean the planet is doomed.

This is NOT about the oil. This is NOT about the arctic. My input is NOT about the left of liberal talking points. Is their so called "settled science" settled? The alarmists are still in failure to come to any understanding of current climate science and solar physics. The planet has stopped warming, those scientists who backed the "Cause", the "Agenda" first would not acknowledge this halt, let alone the role of natural variability in our climate system. More recently the "team" has offered every possible excuse why this planet warming has stopped, as they have been forced to acknowledge.

My point is the inevitable "punch line" now required in concluding remarks for ALL "studies" or "papers" currently offered so as to try to save the **fraudulent agenda**. If you look carefully in the abstract or summary remarks you will read words to the effect: "The only thing that can do that ( save their consensus, save the climate, save humanity!) is the regulation of greenhouse gases." Consensus is NOT science. Here then, is what all this is about. Carefully crafted propaganda, designed to keep the catastrophic CO2 caused, water vapor amplified global warming scare alive. "Scientists" resorting to advocacy. Their "heat" is hiding in the oceans, No, wait, Argo float data says it is not. Their "heat" is in the tropospheric equatorial hot spot, No, wait, satellite data says there isn't one. Their "heat" is being amplified by atmospheric water vapor, No, wait, water vapor has remained constant. In the mean:

The state of Washington ranks fifth in terms of refining capacity. Sea-Tac airport is mostly dependent for Jet fuel on this capacity. State of Washington drivers depend upon the same refineries for almost half of the gasoline they purchase. See for yourselves, visit <a href="http://www.eia.gov/">http://www.eia.gov/</a>. Vessel berthing, mooring and provisioning at Term 5 can add significant employment for this region. The US Interior departments' **Bureau of Ocean Energy Management** has already given approval for Shell oil to drill in the arctic waters off Alaska.

Yet, now, the Port is stuck with this phrase, quoted in the local media, as offered by a King county judge: "
seem to contradict expected uses". Incredulous! The next time any of you are in a meeting, work session,
cocktail party or outreach event and you are challenged with the grave alarmism of: It's the warmest week,
month, year or decade EVER - just do this. Ask, "if it's the warmest, by how much is it the warmest? Please
give me a number, in degrees"! Follow up with: "And, what is the margin for error in this number you offer"
? Now, proceed with your task of letting Term 5 be home port to Shell oil for the duration of their needs.

Thank you.